

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/687,045 | 10/13/2000 | Ram Krishna Rastogi | RAR00P | 1123 |
| 20738 | 7590 03/06/2003 | | | |
| | O'CONNELL | | EXAMINER | |
| | IDGE STREET SUITE 10 DN, MA 01803 | | PADEN, CAROLYN A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1761 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N | o. | Applicant(s) | 14 | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | | 09/687,045 | | RASTOGI, RAM KRISHNA | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | The Statution Date of the | Carolyn A Pad | | 1761 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cov | er sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 46 / | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | 25/23 This detical is notified. | | | | | | | | |
| /_ | closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims | nce except for Ex parte Quaylo | formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 49 | osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213. | ie merits is | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-25</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | annlication) | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| | Filerry under C | 0.0.0. 33 120 d | 114/UL 12 . | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Informa | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) 6) | Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other: | PTO-413) Paper No(s ent Application (PTO | .) -152) | | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trad PTO-326 (Rev. | | n Summary | | Part of | Paper No. 5 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/687,045

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Spector (4,759,937).

Spector shows edible popcorn forms that are glued together to form a larger piece. Larger pieces are formed together with smaller pieces to make a larger form.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spector (4,759,937).

Spector shows edible popcorn forms that are glued together to form a larger piece. Larger pieces are formed together with smaller pieces to make a larger form. The pieces are glued together with a mixture of butter, corn syrup and sugar. Water is a well known as a component of corn syrup.

Claims 26-45 are allowed. Applicants arguments concerning the coplanar arrangement of the fish pieces is persuasive.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 6-25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants argument concerning the coplanar arrangement of the fish pieces is persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 3:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN 3-4-03 PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1300-1761